

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Effects of Cassava Peelings and Palm Oil on Proximate Composition and Cyanide Content of Processed Cassava Roots

Ibegbulem CO¹ and Chikezie PC^{2*}¹Department of Biochemistry, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria²Department of Biochemistry, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria

*Corresponding author: Chikezie PC, Department of Biochemistry, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria, Tel: +2348038935327, E-mail: p_chikezie@yahoo.com

Citation: Ibegbulem CO, Chikezie PC (2018) Effects of Cassava Peelings and Palm Oil on Proximate Composition and Cyanide Content of Processed Cassava Roots. J Food Tech Food Chem 1: 102

Abstract

Investigations on the consequential effects of the presence of cassava peelings and palm oil on the proximate composition and cyanide content during the stages of processing cassava roots into gelatinized *garri* meal were carried out. The peeled and unpeeled cassava roots were processed into *garri* and preparation of gelatinized *garri* meals were according to standard traditional methods. The cassava roots and *garri* samples were stored at ambient room temperature and 30-55% relative humidity until used for analyses. Proximate composition and cyanide contents of the samples were measured using standard methods. The moisture content of the cassava roots was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than the processed *garri* samples. Protein and lipid contents of the samples were relatively low, whereas the ash value of unpeeled cassava roots was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than those of the peeled samples. The carbohydrate content of the unprocessed cassava roots was comparatively lower than those of the processed roots; $p < 0.05$. The cyanide content of *garri* sample produced from unpeeled cassava roots was 4.89 folds lower than that produced from peeled cassava roots ($p < 0.05$). Palm oil treated *garri* samples gave marginal low cyanide content, which was further lowered when processed into gelatinized *garri* meal. The presence of cassava peelings and the addition of palm oil to cassava mash during the production of *garri* caused critical readjustments of samples' physicochemical properties and impacted on the food value of the cassava-based meal.

Keywords: Cassava; Cyanide; *garri*; Palm Oil; Proximate Composition

Introduction

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz subspecies *esculenta*) is an important root crop cultivated in the tropical countries of Africa, Asia and South America [1-3]. There are number of varieties of cassava plants that are generally categorized as 'bitter' or 'sweet' cassava depending on their cyanide content [3]. Thus, the roots of 'bitter' cassava contain relatively high concentration of cyanide; the peels and pulps, on the average, contain about 650 ppm and 310 ppm total cyanide respectively, whereas the corresponding 'sweet' cassava peels and pulps contain less than 200 ppm and 38 ppm total cyanide respectively [4]. The food value of cassava is greatly compromised by its cyanide content. Although reports showed that Africa accounted for 45%, Asia 28% and Latin America and the Caribbean 19% of about 172 million tons of cassava roots produced worldwide in the year 2000, global cassava production in 2016 stood at 268.4 metric tons [5,6].

The crop has been noted for its capability to withstand adverse soil and climatic conditions in regions where the roots serve as a dependable staple food for millions of people [3,7-9]. Food products derived from the cassava plant provides about 70% of daily caloric intake for over 50 million Nigerians of diverse sociocultural standing [10,11]. Cassava roots can be processed into wide varieties of domestic meals, depending on local customs and preferences, for human consumption as well as for livestock and aquaculture feeding programs [9,11-13]. Some few notable processed cassava meals, known by their local names include *abacha*, *fufu*, *farinha*, *lio-lio*, *tapioca*, and *garri*. Recent reports showed growing industrial demand for cassava roots as raw materials in the production of essential commodities, thermoplastic and biofuels [3,11,14-16].

However, the cassava plant contains the potentially toxic compounds, cyanogenic glucosides- linamarin and lotaustralin, of which the amount of these toxic compounds varies according to cultivars, climatic and growing conditions [17,18]. Anatomical survey showed that the cassava root is consist of brown outermost layer or husk (0.5-2.0% of the root weight; easily removed by simple

scratching), the peels or cortical parenchyma (1-2 mm thick and 8-15% of the root weight; it contains most of the toxic cyanogenic glycosides) and the fleshy starchy parenchyma (83-92% of the root weight), which is the edible part of the root [19-21].

Fresh cassava roots, particularly the high quality ones, deteriorate within two or three days after harvest, and therefore must be processed immediately to finished products [3,20]. Alternatively, cassava roots may be processed into the dried form to reduce moisture content, which converts the roots into more durable and stable product [22,23]. The scheme used for the production of *garri* in households and villages as well as in large mechanized scale are essentially the same, whereas the complexity of processing technologies selected depend on the amount of cassava roots to be processed, the availability of capital and energy as well as labour cost [15,24]. The scheme for the traditional production of *garri* has previously been described elsewhere [12,25-27]. The two common varieties of *garri* in the Nigeria markets are the white and yellow *garri*. The yellow *garri* is produced by adding palm oil to the dewatered cassava cake prior to or during the roasting stage. *Garri* is often eaten in combination with nutritious soups and stews or snacks such as groundnuts, coconuts, dried fish/meat and vegetables, which enhances its nutritional value especially in terms of human and livestock daily protein, minerals and vitamins requirements.

Because peelings of cassava root prior to the production of cassava-based products consume man-hour with attendant impact on the environment by the generated waste, alternative method to produce *garri* without the peeling operation was proposed in the present investigation. Accordingly, studies were carried out to ascertain the consequential effects of the presence of cassava peelings (peridermal and cortical regions) on the proximate composition and cyanide content during the stages of processing the cassava roots into gelatinized *garri* meal [28-30]. The outcome of the present study will provide preliminary insights into the food value of cassava root and its products along the experimentally designed production chain.

Materials and Methods

Collection and preparation of cassava roots

Matured roots of the 'sweet' cassava variety were harvested twelve months after planting during the wet season, on the 16th of August, 2015 from Ofkaja Farm at Uruagu-Nnewi, Anambra State (Latitude 6°20'N; Longitude 7°00'E), Nigeria, which lies on the rainforest belt [27]. The harvested roots were transported to the laboratory within 24 h, identified and authenticated by Dr. F.N. Mbagwu at the Herbarium, Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria. Thereafter, the roots were washed under continuous current of tap water for 5 min to remove soil matter and air-dried at ambient room temperature ($T = 25 \pm 5$ °C).

Unprocessed cassava roots

The cassava roots were divided into two groups of fairly equal sizes and weights using a triple beam balance (Model: OHAU 750-50; OHAUS Triple Beam Balance, Model TJ611, Burlington, NC, USA). The hard outer cover of one group of the cassava roots were manually removed using stainless kitchen knife. Thus the cassava samples were categorized as follows:

- Group 1: Unpeeled cassava roots (UPCR).
- Group 2: Peeled cassava roots (PCR).

The cassava root peelings were consisted of peridermal and cortical sections, whereas the PCR was mainly composed of white starchy flesh and central vascular fibre.

Processing of cassava roots

The cassava roots were processed into *garri* according to standard traditional methods previously described [10]. The UPCR and PCR samples (500 g) were grated separately into fine pulp using a grating machine. Next, the pulp was packed into Hessian bags and compressed to drain and allowed to ferment for 3 days. The pressed cake of UPCR and PCR samples were sieved on a wire mesh screen (3×3mm²). A 200 g portion of the sieved cake of UPCR and PCR samples were mixed separately with 10 mL palm oil, whereas the remaining portions of the sieved cake of UPCR and PCR samples were not treated with palm oil. The sieved cakes of the various cassava roots were roasted separately in wide shallow cast iron pots until well baked into *garri*. The various types of *garri* samples (Type G1-G4) produced were categorized thus:

- Type G1: *Garri* produced from UPCR (UPCRG).
- Type G2: *Garri* produced from PCR (PCRG).
- Type G3: *Garri* produced from UPCR + palm oil (UPCRG+PO).
- Type G4: *Garri* produced from PCR + palm oil (PCRG+PO).

The *garri* samples were allowed to cool to ambient room temperature, packaged in labeled sterile cellophane bags and stored at ambient room temperature and 30-55% relative humidity until used for analyses.

Preparation of gelatinized *garri* meal

Garri meal or *eba* was prepared according to established traditional methods of indigenous people of Nigeria. Ten grams (10 g)

portions of each of the experimental *garri* samples (Type G1-G4) were measured into a petri dish using a triple beam balance (Model: OHAU 750-50; OHAUS Triple Beam Balance, Model TJ611, Burlington, NC, USA). Next, 10 mL boiling distilled water was transferred into four separate 50 mL capacity beakers. The separate Type G1-G4 samples were poured into the corresponding beakers containing boiling distilled water, allowed to set and thereafter, mixed properly using galvanized silver spoon. The gelatinized *garri* meals (Type GM1-GM4) were categorized in the following corresponding order thus:

- Type GM1: *Garri* meal produced from UPCR (UPCRGM).
- Type GM2: *Garri* meal produced from PCR (PCRGM).
- Type GM3: *Garri* meal produced from UPCR + palm oil (UPCRGM+PO).
- Type GM4: *Garri* meal produced from PCR + palm oil (PCRGM+PO).

The Type GM1-GM4 samples were allowed to cool to ambient room temperature and used for analyses.

Proximate analyses

Proximate composition, namely, moisture, crude protein, crude fibre, ash, total lipids and carbohydrate contents, of 5.0 g portions of the various samples were measured according to the standard methods previously described [31].

Cyanide content

Measurement of cyanide contents of the various samples was according to alkaline titration method as described by Kamalu and Oghome, with minor modifications [25,26]. A 15 g sample was measured into 800 mL Kjeldahl flash containing 200 mL of distilled water and allowed to stand for 3 h at 25 ± 5 °C. Autolysis was carried out with the apparatus connected to a distiller. A 150 mL of distillate was collected in 20 mL 25% of NaOH solution and further diluted to 250 mL with distilled water. Next, 100 mL of the diluted distillate was mixed with 8.0 mL of 6.0 N NH_4OH and 2.0 mL of 5% KI indicator solution and titrated against 0.02N AgNO_3 . The end point was indicated by a faint permanent turbidity appearance. The cyanide content (mg/100 g cassava wet weight) of the sample was evaluated from the expression: $1.0 \text{ mL } 0.02 \text{ N } \text{AgNO}_3 \text{ } 1.08 \text{ mg HCN}$.

Statistical analyses

Data was analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant. All data were expressed as the mean \pm SD of three observations.

Results

The moisture contents of UPCR and PCR samples were within the range of 64.94-66.17% of total proximate composition of the cassava roots. Additionally, the moisture content of UPCR sample was not significantly ($p > 0.05$) higher than that of PCR sample (Figure 1). Conversely, the moisture content of PCRG sample was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than that of the UPCRG sample. UPCRG+PO sample gave a comparative moisture content that was 1.6 fold lower than that of PCRG+PO sample; $p < 0.05$. In the same corresponding order, moisture content of UPCRGM sample was lower than that of PCRGM sample, representing 2.33% lower moisture content; $p < 0.05$. Furthermore, moisture content of PCRGM+PO sample was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than that of the UPCRGM+PO sample.




Figure 1: Moisture contents of cassava roots, *garri* and *garri* meals

Crude protein content of UPCR sample was not significantly different ($p > 0.05$) from that of PCR sample (Figure 2). Likewise, UPCRG sample gave marginally higher crude protein content when compared with that of PCRG sample; $p > 0.05$. However, UPCRG+PO and PCRG+PO samples exhibited significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher crude protein contents compared with the unprocessed cassava roots (UPT and PCR samples). The crude protein contents of UPCRGM and PCRGM samples were comparable with those of UPCRG and PCRG samples. In the same order, UPCRGM+PO and PCRGM+PO samples gave crude protein contents that were comparable with those of UPCRG+PO and PCRG+PO samples.




Figure 2: Crude protein contents of cassava roots, *garri* and *garri* meals

Figure 3 showed that crude fibre contents of UPCR and PCR samples exhibited significant difference ($p < 0.05$). Likewise, the UPCRGM, PCRG, UPCRGM+PO and PCRG+PO samples gave variable crude fibre contents, such that UPCRGM > PCRG samples and UPCRGM+PO > PCRG+PO samples; $p < 0.05$. However, the crude fibre content of PCRG+PO sample was not significantly different ($p > 0.05$) from that of UPCRGM sample. On comparative terms, the order of crude fibre contents of UPCRGM and PCRGM samples followed the same pattern with that of the UPCRGM+PO and PCRGM+PO samples.




Figure 3: Crude fibre contents of cassava roots, *garri* and *garri* meals

Figure 4 showed that the ash value of UPCR sample was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than that of the PCR sample. Furthermore, the ash values of UPCRGM+PO and PCRG+PO samples were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than their corresponding UPCRGM and PCRG samples. The same pattern was observed in the order of ash values, such that UPCRGM > PCRGM samples and UPCRGM+PO > PCRGM+PO samples; $p < 0.05$.




Figure 4: Ash values of cassava roots, *garri* and *garri* meals

Figure 5 showed that the total lipid content in UPCR sample was not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different from that of PCR sample. Likewise, total lipid content of UPCRGM sample was comparable with that of PCRG sample; $p > 0.05$. However, the total lipid contents of UPCRGM and PCRG samples were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than those of UPCR and PCR samples. Expectedly, UPCRGM+PO, UPCRGM+PO and PCRGM+PO samples gave relatively higher total lipid contents compared with those of UPCRGM, PCRGM,

UPCRG and PCRG samples. Overall, the total lipid contents of the samples were within the range of 0.33%-1.51% (Figure 5).




Figure 5: Total lipid contents of cassava roots, garri and garri meals

The carbohydrate content of the unprocessed cassava roots (UPCR and PCR samples) were comparatively lower than those of the processed roots; $p < 0.05$ (Figure 6). The carbohydrate content of UPCR sample was not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different from that of PCR sample. Furthermore, the carbohydrate contents of UPCRGM, PCRG, UPCRGM+PO, PCRG+PO, UPCRGM and PCRGGM samples varied within relatively narrow range: $81.00 \pm 0.26\%$ - $83.13 \pm 0.05\%$; $p > 0.05$. The UPCRGM+PO sample gave carbohydrate content that was not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different from that of PCRGGM+PO sample.




Figure 6: Carbohydrate contents of cassava roots, garri and garri meals

Figure 7 showed that the cyanide content of PCR sample was 1.62 fold lower than that of UPCR sample; $p < 0.05$. The cyanide content of UPCRGM sample was 4.89 folds lower than that of UPCR sample ($p < 0.05$), whereas PCRG sample gave 4.69 folds lower than that of the corresponding PCR sample; $p < 0.05$. Additionally, the UPCRGM+PO and PCRG+PO samples gave marginal lower cyanide content compared with their corresponding UPCRGM and PCRG samples. The PCRGGM+PO sample gave the lowest cyanide content compared with other experimental samples; $p < 0.05$.




Figure 7: Cyanide contents of cassava roots, garri and garri meals

Discussion

The present study showed that unprocessed cassava roots (PCR and UPCR samples) contained comparatively high quantity of moisture and exhibited variable proximate composition. Collation of previous reports had shown wide variations of nutrient composition in several collections of cassava roots, which were linked to cultivar/variety differences, age of the plants, geographic location/climatic conditions, differences in soil conditions, rainfall distribution and, probably, analytic methods employed in those previous studies [11,32-34]. Also, significant variations in cyanide content amongst cassava roots of the same cultivar in different agro-ecological zones have been reported [18,35]. Other studies have linked wide variability of cyanide content in cassava roots to variety differences, growing conditions of plant, (i.e. soil type, humidity, temperature), maturity of plants, environmental conditions, and nutritional status of the plants, prevailing seasonal and climatic conditions during the time of harvest as well impact of environmental pollution and application of inorganic fertilizers [3,15,19,35-40].

From the outcome of the present investigations, comparatively high moisture content of the PCR and UPCR samples were evident and, to a large extent, conformed to previous reports [11,34,41]. Earlier studies had reported that the high moisture content and activation of molecular events leading to the poorly understood post-harvest physiological deterioration in cassava root were responsible for its relatively low stability during storage and accelerated rate of deterioration immediately after harvest [41-43]. This drawback can be circumvented or minimized by converting the wet roots into intermediate dried chips that can further be processed into preferred cassava-based products when the need arises [8,10,44,45].

Furthermore, traditional methods of processing cassava root into *garri* involved substantial elimination of water from the raw roots, resulting to a relatively more stable product with comparatively longer shelf-life (Figure 1) [10,25]. Between the two processed cassava roots (UPCRG and PCRG samples), the PCRG sample appeared to retain relatively more moisture than that of the UPCR sample. The significant disparity in moisture content between the UPCR and PCRG samples was an indication that the starchy parenchyma exhibited greater capacity to retain moisture than the peridermal and cortical regions of the cassava root. Also, the presence of peridermal and cortical regions in the cassava mash altered the water retention capacity of the starchy parenchyma following the processing of the cassava root into *garri*. The capability of the starchy parenchyma to retain water in the cause of processing cassava roots into *garri* was as a result of the peculiar physicochemical changes in cassava starch associated with the fermentation process and altered textural property as previously described between UPCR and PCRG samples. Similarly, previous investigation showed that the presence of palm oil in *garri* gave a finer granular texture than corresponding sample devoid of palm oil, which suggest disparity in water retention capacities between *garri* treated with palm oil (UPCRG+PO and PCRG+PO samples) and the corresponding sample devoid of palm oil (UPCR and PCRG samples). Additionally, the presence of palm oil in the cassava mash facilitated rapid volatilization of moisture as it did to cyanohydrin and hydrogen cyanide during the roasting stage as earlier reported [46-50]. Thus, UPCR+PO and PCRG+PO samples exhibited lower moisture content than those of corresponding UPCR and PCRG samples. The presence of peridermal and cortical regions in palm oil treated cassava mash also interfered with the moisture retention capacity of the starchy parenchyma following roasting. The comparatively raised moisture content of gelatinized *garri* samples were obvious reflection of re-introduction of water during preparation of the various *eba* samples.

The cassava root is a poor source of protein; exemplified by the relatively low crude protein contents of the unprocessed roots and their corresponding processed products (Figure 2) [34]. However, the presence of peridermal and cortical regions as well as introduction of palm oil in the cassava mash caused marginal increases and improvement in the crude protein contents of the unprocessed roots and their corresponding processed products. Nevertheless, the crude protein contents of the various experimental samples, probably, were far lower than what was reported in the present study because the Kjeldahl methods have been noted to present 'false' raised levels of crude proteins in biological samples [11]. In the Kjeldahl technique, non-protein nitrogen elements are captured in the analysis, particularly in the present study, where the nitrogen elements of cyanogenic glycosides and hydrocyanic acid (HCN) are relatively present in the cassava samples. Studies have also shown that differences in genetics/cultivar and growth conditions of cassava plants create wide variations in free amino acids content and non-protein nitrogen elements [51].

The present study showed that the combined crude fibre contents of the peridermal and cortical regions were high enough to alter the proportion of crude fibre contributed by the starchy parenchyma in the cassava mash; since crude fibre content of PCR sample was substantially greater than that of UPCR sample (Figure 3). Meanwhile, earlier reports had also showed that smaller sizes of unpeeled cassava roots contained more crude fibre than those of large roots [11,34,52]. The present investigation showed that the traditional methods leading to the processing of cassava roots into *garri* caused marginal increases in the proportions of crude fibre contents in the cassava-based products. Similarly, the ash value, which is a measure of the total amount of inorganic matter in the samples, was affected by the addition of palm oil and inclusion of peridermal and cortical regions to the cassava mash. Conversely, the level of lipids in cassava roots is relatively very low, which usually occur on the average of 0.25% [53]. Consequently, the disparity in lipid content between the peels and the starchy parenchyma was not obviously profound; since the lipid content of the PCR sample was not significantly different from that of the UPCR sample (Figure 5). However, marginal alterations and readjustments in lipid contents of the experimentally processed cassava products (UPCR, PCRG, UPCRGM and PCRGGM samples) occurred, probably, as a result of microbial metabolism spin off associated with the fermentation period. Contrary, the yellow *garri* samples (UPCR+PO, PCRG+PO, UPCRGM+PO and PCRGGM+PO samples) are fortified with palm oil and are rich in β -carotene as well as collections of lipid matter as previously reported [26,54].

The cassava root is often referred to as starchy root in that the carbohydrate content is about 30-35% of fresh root, which was in conformity with the present findings. Furthermore, the present study showed that carbohydrate content of the processed samples were proportionally higher than that of PCR and UPCR samples, which was not unconnected with the elimination of substantial quality of water during the course of processing the raw roots to corresponding finished products [2,22,34,55]. For instance the carbohydrate contents of the processed cassava products were comparable with that of cassava flour [56]. However, the presence of peridermal and cortical sections of the root in the cassava mash did not substantially alter the starchy fraction of the parenchyma section; since the carbohydrate content of UPCR sample was not significantly higher than that of PCR sample (Figure 6). Aside the starchy content of the cassava root, Sanchez, *et al.* had noted that the total and reducing sugars in cassava roots were within the range of 0.2-18.8% and 0.0-15.7%, respectively, on a dry weight basis [57].

Traditionally, the reduction and, possibly, elimination of cyanide from raw cassava roots have been achieved using a combination of several processing schemes [48,58]. Investigations had shown that the final level of residual cyanide in cassava-based products depended on the initial cyanide load and methods applied in processing raw cassava roots [13,59,60]. All processing techniques involved operations that trigger the breakdown of cyanogenic glycosides by endogenous hydrolytic enzymes such as linamarase into hydrogen cyanide followed by the volatilization by heating, in form of roasting or boiling. In cases where the residual cyanide in cassava products cannot be totally eliminated, levels of less than 50 mg/kg are considered harmless [9,18]. The FAO recommended maximum cyanide level in foods to be 10 mg CN equivalents/kg dry weight [11]. Notwithstanding, chronic toxicity may ensue when the consumption of such cassava-based products are consumed over long period of time [61].

Studies have shown that the cortex parenchyma contains vast amount of the total cyanide in cassava root, whereas less concentration of the total cyanide is present in the starchy parenchyma [62]. Therefore, peeling of the cassava husk ensures considerable reduction of cyanide levels in raw cassava root. Accordingly, the present study showed that the cyanide content of PCR sample was significantly lower than that of the UPCR sample, which confirmed substantial reduction of cyanide content, following the removal of the peridermal and cortical regions, in the raw cassava roots (Figure 7). The simultaneous process of dewatering and fermentation also promoted the reduction of cyanide contents in cassava mash by 50-80% as previously reported [60,63-66]. Furthermore, the roasting and drying procedures facilitated the reduction of cyanide contents to lower values in *garri* products. However, the presence of peridermal and cortical regions in the cassava mash caused the retention of relatively higher amount of cyanide in the *garri* samples as exemplified by the significant raised cyanide content of UPCR sample compared with that of PCR sample. This disparity was connected with initial cyanide load in the cassava husk based on previous suggestion [60]. In a similar corresponding order, the addition of palm oil to the cassava mash during the roasting stage caused moderate reduction in the cyanide contents of the finished products (UPCRG+PO and PCRG+PO samples). Previous studies had shown that roasting of cassava mash in the presence of palm oil engendered rapid volatilization of hydrocyanic acid and may have also accounted for further reduction of cyanide content in gelatinized *garri* or *eba*, which was prepared by dispersing and soaking *garri* granules in boiling water, by causing volatilization of hydrocyanic acid (Figure 7) [49,50,67]. Accordingly, from toxicological standpoint, the consumption of *eba* is safer and should be preferred to intake of *garri* or *garri* dispersed and soaked in cold water, which is a common snacking habit among Nigerians.

Conclusion

The traditional methods of processing of cassava roots into *garri* caused substantial loss of water by the roots. The dehydrated *garri* produced from peeled and unpeeled cassava roots exhibited differential capacities to retain moisture. Specifically, *garri* sample produced from peeled cassava roots appeared to retain relatively more moisture than that produced from unpeeled roots. Likewise, *garri* produced from unpeeled and peeled cassava roots treated with palm oil exhibited lower moisture content than their corresponding samples devoid of palm oil. Furthermore, the presence of peridermal and cortical regions in the cassava mash also interfered with the moisture retention capacity of the starchy parenchyma. The presence of cassava peels components as well as introduction of palm oil in the cassava mash caused marginal increases and improvement in the crude protein contents of the unprocessed roots and their corresponding processed products. Processing unpeeled cassava roots engendered marginal increases in the proportions of crude fibre in the cassava products, whereas the ash value of *garri* was affected by the addition of palm oil and inclusion of peridermal and cortical regions to the cassava mash. The lipid content of the cassava based products exhibited marginal alterations but were obviously increased in palm oil treated samples. The presence of cassava peels did not substantially alter the carbohydrate content, contributed by the starchy parenchyma section, in the cassava mash. Finally, the presence of cassava peels in the cassava mash caused retention of relatively higher amount of cyanide in the *garri* samples, whereas the addition of palm oil to the cassava mash caused moderate reduction of the cyanide contents in the finished products.

References

1. Ihenkoronye AI, Ngoddy PO (1985) Tropical roots and tuber crops. In: Integrated Food Science and Technology for the Tropics. London: Macmillan Publisher Ltd: 384-9.
2. Nweke FI (2004) New Challenges in the Cassava Transformation in Nigeria and Ghana, Paper presented at the NEPAD/IGAD regional conference Agricultural Successes in the Greater Horn of Africa. Environment and Production Technology Division, International Food Policy Research Institute Discussion Paper No. 118.
3. Navia DP, Villada HS (2012) Thermoplastic cassava flour, thermoplastic elastomers. El-Sonbati A. (Ed.). InTech.

4. Tewe OO (1972) Detoxification of cassava products and effects of residual toxins on consuming animals.
5. FAO (2001) Bulletin of statistics. Rome, Italy. Bull Stat 2: 47-8.
6. FAO. Agricultural statistics (2012) Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
7. El-Sharkawy MA (2003) Cassava biology and physiology. *Plant Mol Biol* 53: 621-41.
8. Ekwu FC, Uvere PO, Chinwero OM (2012) Quality of 'abacha' from fresh cassava roots and dried chips. *Nig Food J* 30: 124-30.
9. Lukuyu B, Okike I, Duncan A, Beveridge M, Blümmel M (2014) Use of cassava in livestock and aquaculture feeding programs. ILRI Discussion Paper 25. Nairobi, Kenya: International Livestock Research Institute.
10. Oluwole OB, Olantunji O, Odunfa SA (2004) Process technology for conversion of dried cassava chips into gari. *Nig Food J* 22: 66-77.
11. OECD (2009) Consensus document on compositional considerations for new varieties of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz): Key food and feed nutrients, anti-nutrients, toxicants and allergens.
12. CCDN News (2006) Working together to eliminate cyanide poisoning, konzo and tropical ataxic neuropathy (TAN) 1-6.
13. Okoli IC, Okaparocha CO, Chinweze CE, Udedibe ABI (2012) Physicochemical and hydrogen cyanide content of three processed cassava products used for feeding poultry in Nigeria. *Asian J Anim Vet Adv* 7: 334-41.
14. Jansson C, Westerbergh A, Zhang J, Hu X, Sun C (2009) Cassava, a potential biofuel crop in (the) People's Republic of China. *Appl Energy*, 86, Suppl 1: 95-9.
15. Adeniji TA (2013) Review of cassava and wheat flour composite in bread making: Prospect for industrial application. *Afr J Plant Sci Biotechnol* 7: 1-8.
16. Ukwuru MU, Egbonu SE (2013) Recent development in cassava-based products research. *Acad J Food Res* 1: 1-13.
17. Tewe OO, Iyayi EA (1989) Cyanogenic glycosides. In: Cheeke PR. (Ed). *Toxicants of Plant Origin. Glycosides. Vol. II.* Boca Raton, Florida, USA: CRS Press. 43-60.
18. Mburu FW, Swaleh S, Njue W (2012) Potential toxic levels of cyanide in cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) grown in Kenya. *Afr J Food Sci* 6: 416-20.
19. Chiedozie OI, Paul CC (2018) Comparative Proximate Composition and Cyanide Content of Peeled and Unpeeled Cassava Roots Processed into Garri by Traditional Methods. *Research Journal of Food and Nutrition* 2: 1-13.
20. Tewe OO (1992) Detoxification of cassava products and effects of residual toxins on consuming animals. In: FAO Corporate Document: Roots, tubers, and bananas in animal feeding, Rome.
21. Lebot V (2009) Tropical root and tuber crops: cassava, sweet potato, yams, aroids. CAB International. Oxfordshire, UK, 2-87.
22. IITA (1990) Cassava in tropical Africa. A Reference Manual. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria.
23. Ugwu BO (1996) Increasing cassava production in Nigeria and prospects for sustaining the trend. *Outlook Agric* 25: 179-85.
24. Hahn SK, Reynolds L, Egbunike GN (1992) Cassava as livestock feed in Africa. Proceedings of IITA/ILCA/ University of Ibadan Workshop on the Potential Utilization of Cassava as Livestock Feed in Africa, 14-18 November 1988, Ibadan, Nigeria. Ibadan, Nigeria: International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), and Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: International Livestock Centre for Africa (ILCA).
25. Kamalu CIO, Oghome P (2012) A study of starch and cyanide contents in Etche manufactured garri. *J Emerg Trends Eng Appl Sci* 3: 589-93.
26. Chikezie PC, Ojiako AO (2013) Cyanide and aflatoxin loads of processed cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) tubers (Garri) in Njaba, Imo State, Nigeria. *Toxicol Int* 20: 261-7.
27. Olaoye OA, Lawrence IG, Cornelius GN, Ihenetu ME (2015) Evaluation of quality attributes of cassava product (gari) produced at varying length of fermentation. *Am J Agric Sci* 2: 1-7.
28. Cumbana AE, Mirione JC, Bradbury JH (2007) Reduction of cyanide content of cassava flour in Mozambique by wetting method. *Food Chem* 101: 894-7.
29. Jyothi AN, Sasikiran BN, Balagopalan C (2005) Optimization of glutamic acid production from cassava starch factory residues using *Brevibacterium divaricatum*. *Process Biochem* 40: 3576-9.
30. Oboh G (2006) Nutrient enrichment of cassava peels using a mixed culture of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Lactobacillus* spp solid media fermentation techniques. *Electron J Biotechnol* 9.
31. William H, George WL, AOAC (2006) Official Method of Analysis of the AOAC. Horwitz W. Ed. 18th Edition, Washington DC.
32. Osei SA, Twumasi IK (1989) Effects of oven-dried cassava peel meal on the performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* 24: 247-52.
33. Fasuyi AO, Aletor VA (2005) Protein replacement value of cassava (*Manihot esculenta*, Crantz) leaf protein concentrate in broiler starter: effect on performance, muscle growth, haematology and serum metabolites. *Int J Poult Sci* 4: 339-49.
34. Montagnac JA, Davis CR, Tanumihardjo SA (2009) Nutritional value of cassava for use as a staple food and recent advances for improvement. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf* 8: 181-8.
35. Koko CA, Kouame BK, Anvoh BY, Amani GN, Assidjo EN (2014) Comparative study on physicochemical characteristics of cassava roots from three local cultivars in Côte D'ivoire. *Eur Sci J* 10: 418-32.
36. Splittstoesser WE, Tunya GO (1992) Crop physiology of cassava; In: *Horticulture Reviews*. 13: 102-27.
37. Bokanga M (1994) Distribution of cyanogenic potential in cassava germplasm. *Acta Hort* 375: 117-23.
38. Vetter J (2000) Plant cyanogenic glycosides. *Toxicol* 38: 11-36.
39. CIAT (2007) Improved cassava for the developing world. *Annu Rep* 39.
40. Faezah ON, Aishah SH, Kalsom UY (2013) Comparative evaluation of organic and inorganic fertilizers on total phenolic, total flavonoid, antioxidant activity and cyanogenic glycosides in cassava (*Manihot esculenta*). *Afr J Biotechnol* 12: 2414-21.
41. Ceballos H (2002) La yuca en Colombia y el Mundo: Nuevas perspectivas para un cultivo milenario. In: Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT. Ed). *La yuca en el tercer milenio. Sistemas modernos de producción, procesamiento, utilización y comercialización* 1-13.
42. Reilly K, Gomez-Vasquez R, Buschmann H, Tohme J, Beeching JR (2004) Oxidative stress response during cassava post-harvest physiological deterioration. *Plant Mol Biol* 56: 625-41.
43. Salcedo A, Valle AD, Sanchez B, Ocasio V, Ortiz A, Marquez P et al. (2010) Comparative evaluation of physiological post-harvest root deterioration of 25 cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) accessions: visual vs. hydroxycoumarins fluorescent accumulation analysis. *Afr J Agric Res* 5: 3138-44.
44. Ekwu FC, Ugwuonah S (2007) Effect of drying method on the quality of gari. *J Sci Food Sci Environ* 7: 44-8.

45. Udoro EO, Kehinde AT, Olasunkanmi SG, Charles TA (2014) Studies on the physicochemical, functional and sensory properties of gari processed from dried cassava chips. *J Food Process Technol* 5: 1-8.
46. Numfor FA, Walter WM, Schwartz SJ (1995) Physicochemical changes in cassava starch and flour associated with fermentation: Effect on textural properties. *Starch-Starke* 47: 86-9.
47. Agbor EE (2005) The role of red palm oil in processing cassava to Gari. *Cassava Cyanide Dis Netw News* 5: 1-2.
48. Odoemelam SA (2005) Studies on residual hydrocyanic acid (HCN) in garri flour made from cassava (*Manihot Spp.*). *Pak J Nutr* 4: 376-8.
49. Vasconcelos AT, Twiddy DR, Westby A, Reilly PJA (1990) Plant food for human nutrition. *J Food Sci Technol* 25: 198-203.
50. Olorunfemi DI, Afobhokhan CO (2012) Effect of selected vegetable oils on the cyanide content of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Cranz.). *Ife J Sci* 14: 259-67.
51. Yeoh HH, Truong VD (1996) Protein contents, amino acid compositions and nitrogen to protein conversion factors for cassava roots. *J Sci Food Agric* 70: 51-4.
52. Gil JL, Buitrago AJA (2002) La yuca en la alimentacion animal. In: Ospina B, Ceballos H, editors. *La yuca en el tercer milenio: sistemas modernos de producci'on, procesamiento, utilizaci'on y comercializaci'on*. Cali, Colombia: Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical. pg: 527-69.
53. Lalaguna F, Agudo M (1988) Lipid classes of fresh cassava roots (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz): Identification and quantification. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 65: 1808-11.
54. Oraqpo E (2013) IITA to eliminate vitamin A-induced malnutrition with fortified cassava. *Vanguard*.
55. Tonukari NJ (2004) Cassava and the future of starch. *Electron J Biotechnol* 7: 5-8.
56. Charles AL, Sriroth K, Huang TC (2005) Proximate composition, mineral contents, hydrogen cyanide and phytic acid of 5 cassava genotypes. *Food Chem* 92: 615-20.
57. Sánchez T, Salcedo E, Ceballos H, Dufour D, Mafla G, et al. (2009) Screening of starch quality traits in cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz). *Starch/Starke* 6: 12-9.
58. Asegbeloyin JN, Onyimonyi AE (2007) The effect of different processing methods on the residual cyanide of 'gari'. *Pak J Nutr* 6: 163-6.
59. Omoike A, Adediran G (1991) Studies in the free cyanide concentration in three cassava products in Nigerian markets. *J Agric Sci Technol* 1: 75-7.
60. Cardoso AP, Mirione E, Ernesto M, Massaza F, Cliff J, et al. (2005) Processing of cassava roots to remove cyanogens. *J Food Composition Anal* 18: 451-60.
61. FSN. Safe food from farm to fork. 2005
62. Onwueme IC (1978) *The tropical tuber crops*. UK: John Wiley and Sons Ltd 167-95.
63. Kemdirim OC, Chukwu OA, Achinewhu SC (1995) Effect of traditional processing of cassava on the cyanide content of gari and cassava flour. *Plant Food Human Nutr* 48: 335-9.
64. Iyayi EA, Dosel DM (2000) Cyanide detoxification in cassava by-products by fungal solid state fermentation. *J Food Technol Afr* 5: 48-51.
65. Oyewole OB, Ogundele SL (2001) Effect of length of fermentation on the functional characteristics of fermented cassava 'fufu'. *J Food Technol Afr* 6: 38-40.
66. Enidiok SE, Attah LE, Otuechere CA (2008) Evaluation of moisture, total cyanide and fiber contents of garri produced from cassava (*Manihot utilissima*) varieties obtained from Awassa in Southern Ethiopia. *Pak J Nutr* 7: 625-9.
67. Aniebo AO, Odukwe CA (2012) Appraisal of palm oil and methionine as detoxifying agents of residual cyanide in cassava-based broiler starter diets. *Int J Food Agric Vet Sci* 2: 153-61.